



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 060704

KAUFMAN & CANOLES
Attn: Peter A. Shaddock II
150 West Main Street
P.O.Box 3037
Norfolk, VA 23514-3037

JUN 16 2004

In re Application of:	:	
Robert S. Caroon	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Serial No.: 10/807,359	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL
Filed: March 23, 2004	:	
Attorney Docket No.: 0102400	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(c), filed March 23, 2004, to make the above-identified application special.

Petitioner requests that the above-identified application be made special under the accelerated examination procedure set forth in the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (M.P.E.P.) § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age.

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102, and in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV, must include evidence showing that the applicant is sixty five (65) years of age or more. No fee is required for this petition.

The petition includes a statement from Robert S. Caroon stating that the he is sixty-five (65) years of age or more. In addition, a copy of the birth certificate for Robert S. Caroon is included as evidence.

Accordingly, the petition is GRANTED.


If the examiner can make this application special without prejudice to any possible interfering applications, and s/he should make a rigid search for such, s/he is authorized to do so for the next action. Should the application be rejected, the application will not be considered special for the subsequent action unless the applicant promptly makes a bona fide effort to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to have an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose.

If the examiner finds any interfering application for the same subject matter, s/he should consider such application simultaneously with this application and should state in the official letter of such application that s/he is taking it out of its turn because of possible interference.

Should an appeal be taken in this application or should this application become involved in an interference, consideration of the appeal and the interference will be expedited by all Patent and Trademark Office officials concerned, contingent likewise upon diligent prosecution by the applicant.

After allowance, this application will be given priority for printing. See M.P.E.P. § 1309.

Inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to Clayton LaBalle at (571) 272-1594.


Clayton E. LaBalle, Special Programs Examiner
Technology Center 2800
Semiconductors, Electrical and Optical
Systems and Components